

1. **Call to order at 6:07 pm**
 - a. Russel, Helmi, Crummy, Skiles, Snyder, Tapia present
 - i. Quorum met
2. **General Public Comment on Items not on the Agenda**
 - a. No public comment
3. **Review and approval of minutes from March Land Use Meeting**
 - a. Skiles moves to table the approval of the March Land Use Meeting minutes, Crummy seconds, motion passes unanimously
4. **Discussion and possible action on SB 50**
 - a. Skiles
 - i. SB 50 would upzone areas near high-quality transit routes and designated jobs-rich areas
 - b. Crummy
 - i. LA Measure JJJ already offers transit-oriented development within Los Angeles, SB 50 is redundant
 - ii. Housing development is very slow, multiyear process, JJJ is already taking effect
 - iii. 5,600 unit applications with around 1,2000 designated affordable units have already gone in through JJJ
 - iv. SB 50's economics don't work in Westwood, even if lots are consolidated
 1. Exemption disallows demolition of existing apartment buildings that have had residents for 7 years in a concession made to tenants' rights groups
 - v. Dangerous to cede local control over land use decisions
 - c. Snyder
 - i. Local control has historically failed, Westwood politics have been historically dominated by opponents of new development
 - ii. Statewide approach is needed
 - d. Russel
 - i. Concerned about a lack of requirements for extremely-low income units
 - e. Tapia
 - i. Local government has historically failed on this issue
 - ii. Worth supporting SB 50, even preliminarily, and pushing for affordability
 - f. Skiles
 - i. SB 50 has a wide base of support, worth endorsing whether or not it will substantially increase housing development in Westwood
 - g. No public comment
 - h. Peters
 - i. Shouldn't treat housing only as an investment because it's a basic human need like water or food
 - i. Helmi
 - i. Important to increase opportunities for developers to build more units
 - j. Clinco

- i. Disagrees with a statewide policy on local land use
- k. Snyder
 - i. SB 50 would shorten commutes and benefit the climate
- l. Skiles moves that the Land Use committee recommend that the North Westwood Neighborhood Council resolve in favor of Senate Bill 50 and authorize the President to write a CIS with respect to the City Council resolution on the matter
 - i. Snyder seconds
 - ii. Motion carries 6 - 2, Clinco and Crummy opposing

5. Discussion of how to draft an affirmative declaration of what sorts of projects the council will support and how to engage stakeholders with this process

- Skiles recommends forming an ad-hoc committee on the matter
- Skiles moves that the Land Use committee recommends that the North Westwood Neighborhood Council, at its June meeting, create an ad-hoc committee to further the discussion on what sorts of development projects the community collectively supports and recommends
 - Peters seconds
- Public comment
 - Stakeholder Steve Sann doubts that other neighborhood organizations or groups are willing to sign on to more housing given uncertainties about the future of planning due to TOC and SB 50
- Crummy expresses his willingness to direct the ad-hoc committee
- The motion carries unanimously

6. Adjourned at 7:01 pm